

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL		Attorney Docket No.		1293-1050			
		Application Number		09/179,405			
		Filing Date		October 27, 1998			
		First Named Inventor		Ki-young KIM, et al.			
		Group Art Unit		2642			
AMOUNT ENCLOSED				Examiner Name		W. DEANE, JR.	

RECEIVED
 JAN 31 2002
 Technology Center 2600

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/01)					
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	18	- 20 =	0	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	3	- 3 =	0	X \$ 84.00 =	0.00
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>January 10, 2002</u> , petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$400); 3 months (\$920); 4 months (\$1,440); 5 months (\$1,960)):					110.00
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$320)					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					
Total of above Calculations =					\$ 110.00
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$ 110.00
<small>(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0". (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20". (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0". (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".</small>					

METHOD OF PAYMENT	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check enclosed as payment. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below. <input type="checkbox"/> No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).	

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to: <div style="margin-left: 40px;"> Deposit Account No. 19-3935 Deposit Account Name STAAS & HALSEY LLP </div>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.	

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP			
Typed Name	John H. Stowe	Reg. No.	32,863
Signature		Date	1/31/02

#8 est
BA-2-2002
RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2642
Docket No.: 1293.1050

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Ki-young KIM, et al.

Serial No.: 09/179,405

Group Art Unit: 2642

Confirmation No.

Filed: October 27, 1998

Examiner: W. DEANE, JR.

For: TELEPHONE NUMBER SEARCHING SYSTEM SUPPORTING AUTOMATIC
TELEPHONE CONNECTION AND METHOD THEREFOR

RECEIVED

JAN 31 2002

Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attention: **BOX AF**

Sir:

ok to Enter
Qm
This is in response to the Office Action mailed October 10, 2001, and having a period for response set to expire on January 10, 2002. A Petition for a 1-month extension of time, together with the requisite fee for same, is submitted herewith, thereby extending the period for response to February 10, 2001. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested. The following remarks are respectfully submitted.

REMARKS

Claims 1-16, 18 and 19 are pending in the application and claims 1-16, 18 and 19 stand

02/04/2002 BALEXND 00000002 193935 09179405

01 FC:115 110 00 CH
The Rejection

At page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1-16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,091,808 to Wood et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,764,736 to Shachar et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites "a telephone plug-in, connected with said phone and said information